

<p style="text-align: center;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<p>Application No. 10/034,661</p>	<p>Applicant(s) TREYBIG ET AL.</p>
	<p>Examiner Daniel S. Metzmaier</p>	<p>Art Unit 1796</p>

All Participants:

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(2) Michael B. Martin.

Date of Interview: 17 October 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: Rejected

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: ~ PM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Issues raised by amendment of 9/29/2008.

Claims discussed:

28, 43, 49, 51 and 59.

Prior art documents discussed:

none.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

discussed the issues raised by the amendment filed 9/29/2008, the mailing of the advisory action on 10/15/2008, and language that may make the claims read more clearly.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Daniel S. Metzmaier/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)